

# **Beyond the Myths about the Natural and Social Sciences: A Sociological View**

Edited by  
Katarina Prpić

Institute for Social Research – Zagreb  
Zagreb, 2009

# Contents

Katarina Prpić

The unbridgeable gap between the natural and social sciences? .....	9
---	---

## Part I. The profile and productivity of natural and social scientists

Branka Golub

### The social and professional profile of natural and social scientists

1. Social position and socio-professional differentiation of scientists .....	23
2. Socio-professional portrait of Croatian scientists .....	25
3. Natural and social scientists .....	29
3.1. Empirical grounds: web survey and sample of doctors of the natural and social sciences .....	30
4. Social and socialisational elements of disciplinary differences among scientists .....	32
5. Socio-demographic characteristics of doctors in the natural and the social sciences .....	35
6. Socialisational and educational characteristics of doctors of the natural and the social sciences .....	40
7. Working and professional environment .....	44
7.1. Scientific education (competency) in the natural and social sciences .....	45
7.2. Professional (career) advancement of natural and social scientists .....	49
7.3. Institutional and organisational context .....	52
7.4. Professional position and role of doctors of the natural and social sciences .....	57
7.4.1. Patterns of division of research and executive tasks by field .....	57
7.4.2. Patterns of participation and roles in the scientific community by field .....	61
7.4.3. Patterns of scientists' networks by field .....	64
7.4.4. External signs of acknowledgment or distinctive scientific excellence .....	65
8. Recapitulation of the differences in the working and professional environments of natural and social scientists .....	69
References .....	73
Appendix – Tables .....	78

Katarina Prpić

Marija Brajdić Vuković

### Self-reported research productivity: patterns and factors

1. Knowledge production – the key to understanding socio-cognitive differences between sciences? .....	89
--	----

2.	Hypothetical and methodological framework of the study .....	100
3.	What and how much do natural and social scientists publish? .....	103
3.1.	Publication patterns in the two scientific areas .....	103
3.2.	The challenge of homogeneity of the natural sciences: disciplinary patterns of productivity .....	111
3.3.	Differentiation of research productivity in the social sciences .....	116
4.	What factors can explain research productivity? .....	124
5.	Socio-cognitive differentiation in science and research productivity .....	136
	References .....	139

Maja Jokić  
Adrijana Šuljok

### **Productivity and its impact in the ISI and Scopus citation databases from 1996 to 2005**

1.	Introduction: problem, previous research, theoretical and hypothetical starting points .....	143
2.	Methodology .....	149
3.	Results of the study .....	152
3.1.	Productivity and visibility of social scientists .....	152
3.2.	Productivity and visibility of natural scientists .....	161
4.	Conclusion .....	167
	References .....	170

## **Part II. The cognitive convictions of natural and social scientists**

Sven Hemlin

### **What is scientific quality?**

1.	Introduction .....	175
2.	Theoretical framework of scientific quality .....	176
2.1.	The research product .....	177
2.2.	The researcher .....	178
2.3.	The research environment .....	180
2.4.	Research effects .....	181
2.5.	Research financing, organisation and policy .....	182
2.6.	Research evaluations .....	185
2.7.	An attempt to define scientific quality .....	185
3.	Empirical research on scientific quality .....	186
4.	Future changes in scientific quality perceptions and criteria .....	194
5.	Conclusion .....	198
	References .....	199

Katarina Prpić  
Adrijana Šuljok

### **How do scientists perceive scientific quality?**

1.	Main directions in scientific quality studies .....	205
2.	Approach and methods .....	209
2.1.	Goals, hypotheses and methods .....	209
2.2.	Categorial system of perception analysis .....	211
3.	Natural and social scientists' concepts of quality .....	216
4.	Measuring scientific quality - (im)possible? .....	225
5.	Scientific quality and its recognisability, measurability and elusiveness .....	236
	References .....	241

Franc Mali

### **The category of scientific objectivity in the social sciences**

1.	Introduction .....	247
2.	Objectivity of research in the natural and social sciences .....	250
3.	“Understanding other minds” in methods of social research .....	256
4.	Explanation and understanding in classical social thought .....	261
5.	Interpretative explanation in the social sciences .....	265
6.	Category of objectivity and the method of critical rationalism .....	268
7.	Conclusion .....	271
	References .....	273

Katarina Prpić

### **Scientists' concepts of scientific objectivity**

1.	How do practising scientists understand scientific objectivity? .....	275
2.	Hypothetical framework and methods of research .....	280
3.	Natural scientists' perceptions of scientific objectivity .....	282
3.1.	What is objectivity in the natural sciences? .....	282
3.2.	Scientific objectivity in the research practice of the natural sciences .....	291
3.3.	Summary and an outline of epistemic concepts of natural scientists .....	294
4.	How do social scientists perceive objectivity? .....	297
4.1.	Definitions of objectivity in the social sciences .....	297
4.2.	To what extent is objectivity possible in the social sciences? .....	308
4.3.	Epistemic views of social scientists between realism and relativism .....	313
5.	A look from the other side: scientists' positivism and relativism .....	316
	References .....	319

Katarina Prpić

### **Bridging the gap between the two scientific areas .....**

References .....	330
------------------	-----

### **Contributors .....**